## **Planning & Development Board**

City/County Complex Community Room, Livingston, MT 4:00pm, May 17, 2018

<u>Attendance:</u> Planning Board Members Peter Fox, Mike Dailey, Frank Schroeder, John Hiedke; Planning Staff, Lawson Moorman, Mike Inman; Public, Wendy Riley, Kenneth Cochrane, Michelle Uberuaga

Call to Order and Introductions: 4:04pm, Peter Fox called the meeting to order.

<u>Review and Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting:</u> Dailey made a motion to approve the minutes as submitted, Schroeder seconded, motion passed unanimously.

<u>Conflict of Interest:</u> None. Schroeder stated that he was adjacent to the proposed Draft Zoning District and Hiedke stated he was within the proposed Draft Zoning District.

<u>Public Comment for Items on the Agenda:</u> Riley commented that she supports the Draft Zoning District underway and is in favor of the contiguous expanded district boundaries.

## <u>Discussion/Decision on Expanding Draft US Hwy 89 South Zoning District Boundaries:</u>

Fox had some editorial comments concerning the draft including the staggering of terms on the Board of Adjustments, amending the applicability of the district boundaries if they are expanded, and a general maintenance definition. Hiedke and Inman had a brief discussion surrounding the definition for abandonment. The board discussed removal procedures for noncompliant signs.

Fox began a Board discussion on the three requested maps for a Draft Us Hwy 80 District. These consisted of a ¾ mile buffer on either side of Hwy 89 S from Livingston to the Gardiner Resort Tax District, a district with a ¾ mile buffer for Hwy 89 S, Old Yellowstone Trail and East River Rd, and one with all three roads but any gaps left between the buffers filled in. Inman stated that if the Board chose an expanded District the option that filled the gaps would be the easiest from an enforcement stand point. The Board discussed continuity in sign regulations with the City of Livingston.

Hiedke made a motion to adopt the contiguous district boundary including Old Yellowstone Rd and East River Rd for the Draft US Hwy 89 South Zoning District, Dailey seconded, motion passed unanimously.

<u>Discussion/Decision/Recommendation Regarding the Draft US Hwy 89 South Zoning District:</u> Hiedke raised a question surrounding temporary political signs. Schroeder made a motion to recommend adoption of a Draft Us Hwy 89 South Zoning District to regulate signage to the County Commission. Hiedke seconded.

Inman asked for clarification on the name and Fox's proposed amendments before the motion went to a vote. Fox clarified that the motion included the proposed changes from earlier in the meeting and the District would now be called the Draft US Hwy 89 S/ East River Rd/ Old Yellowstone Trail Zoning District. The Board discussed the earliest the recommendation would be in front of the Commission. Uberuaga made a comment recommending legal counsel review the Draft before moving it forward. Inman explained the process moving forward. Cochran discussed the grandfathering policy with the Board. Inman explained those comments would be forwarded up to the Commission with the recommendation. *Hearing no further comments Fox called for a vote on the motion currently on the flood. The motion passed unanimously*.

<u>Project Updates:</u> Moorman updated the Board on the BACI conference. Inman updated the Board on the Old Yellowstone Trail Planning Grant and the Joint City/County Planning Committee. The Board discussed what that Committee would do. Fox updated the Board on what the City Planning Board was working on, most notably the City Growth Policy.

**Old Business:** None.

**New Business:** Schroeder suggested moving forward to discuss a decay ordinance at the next meeting.

**Discussion of Next Agenda:** None.

Public Comment for Items not on the Agenda: None.

**Adjournment:** Fox adjourned the meeting at 5:14 pm.