

**Planning & Development Board**  
City/County Complex Community Room  
Livingston, MT  
3:00pm, July 18, 2019

**Attendance:** Planning Board Members John Heidke, Mike Dailey, Frank Schroeder, Jean Keffeler; Staff Mike Inman, Lawson Moorman

**Call to Order and Introductions:** 3:00pm, Heidke called the meeting to order.

**Public Comment:** None.

**Conflict of Interest:** None.

**Review and Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting:** *Schroeder made a motion to approve the March minutes as submitted, Dailey seconded, motion passed unanimously.*

Keffeler introduced herself to the Board and Staff and gave a brief background on her past experience and her interest on being on the Board, along with what she hopes to accomplish.

**Planning Subcommittee Update and Board Discussion of Growth Policy Goal 16.5:** Heidke introduced this agenda item by briefly describing some of the “low hanging fruit” that the Board and Staff had worked on so far including the zoning district dealing with signage and working on a community decay ordinance. He outlined the direction he was hoping the conversation would go in discussing next steps and dealing with realities and limitations of the Staff and Board. Inman spoke to the current limitations and the current roles and responsibilities of Staff and the County being able to enforce the regulations. Keffeler suggested that Staff knows what the priority issues are better than the Board and noted that she would like to see a report from Staff on what the issues are, what staffing restraints look like, how the Planning Department stacks up to other Counties, etc. The Board and Staff discussed at length the pros and cons of having Staff direct the Board on presumed land use issues to move forward on. Inman stated that the way the process was set up through the Growth Policy and generally accepted procedure was to have the issues come from the Board rather than Staff. Inman cited a major reason for this stance being potential legal ramifications with Staff directing the Board rather than the other way around. The Board agreed to come to the next meeting prepared to discuss incompatible uses and the impacts associated with those uses to continue this discussion.

**Project Updates:** Inman updated the Board on the City/County Planning Subcommittee and the fact that the City was including a neighborhood plan for the area surrounding Livingston as part

of their Growth Policy proposal bid and would identify new members on the City side able to participate.

**Old Business:** None.

**New Business:** Inman notified the Board that a new subdivision would likely be on the Agenda in the next couple of months.

**Discussion of Next Agenda:** Inman reiterated that the discussion of incompatible land uses would continue at the next meeting. Inman also noted that if the next meeting is in Cooke City that Staff would send out an email ahead of time to ensure we had a quorum.

**Public Comment:** None.

**Adjournment:** Heidke adjourned the meeting at 5:10pm