

Planning and Development Board Agenda

Thursday - August 16, 2018 5:30 PM Emigrant Hall

CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

Review and Approval of July Meeting Minutes PB Meeting Minutes_7.19.18.docx

DISCUSSION OF DECAY ORDINANCE PRESENTATION FROM GALLATIN COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OFFICER

PROJECT UPDATES

OLD BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS

DISCUSSION OF NEXT AGENDA

PUBLIC COMMENT

ADJOURNMENT

PUBLIC COMMENT

Planning and Development Board Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: August 16, 2018 Submitted by: Lawson Moorman Submitting Department: PLANNING

Item Type: Minutes

Agenda Section: Review and Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting

Subject:

Review and Approval of July Meeting Minutes

Suggested Action:

Attachments:

PB Meeting Minutes_7.19.18.docx

Planning & Development Board

City County Complex Community Room, Livingston, MT 4:00pm, July 19, 2018

<u>Attendance:</u> Planning Board Members Peter Fox, Mike Dailey, Frank O'Connor John Heidke, Dave Haug, Rich Baerg (telephone), Frank Schroeder (telephone); Planning Staff, Mike Inman; Public, Wendy Riley, Ken Cochran, Nicole Olmstead (Compliance Officer for Gallatin County)

Call to Order and Introductions: 4:00pm, Peter Fox called the meeting to order.

<u>Review and Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting:</u> Haug made a motion to approve the minutes as submitted, Dailey seconded, motion passed unanimously.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Public Comment for Items on the Agenda: None.

Q&A Session of Gallatin County Code Enforcement Officer: Inman gave a brief background on the Board's interest surrounding a Decay Ordinance. Olmstead explained the administrative structure of enforcement for Gallatin County. Olmstead then walked through enforcement of a specific community decay case she had handled in Gallatin County. Olmstead stressed the importance of striving for voluntary compliance as well as the significant amount of time each case takes. The Board asked a variety of questions surrounding the practicality, severity, and success rate of enforcement of the community decay ordinance in Gallatin County. Inman asked how Gallatin County handled refusals to comply. Olmstead stated this has yet to happen in her tenure but that it is important to keep the attorney's office aware of current cases in case the property owner refuses to comply in which case the attorney would ask the Commission for permission to pursue a law suit. Olmstead also mentioned the fact that the County is authorized under state law to clean up the property themselves and then lean the cost on the property. The Board asked more questions about the jurisdiction, timelines, and major issues surrounding enforcement of Community Decay. Fox asked how she was funded. Oldstead said her department was funded entirely out of the general fund. Riley asked what percentage of her position is spent on Community Decay. Olmstead stated it fluctuated but 10 to 20 percent on average. The public asked several other questions regarding the language of the Communty Decay ordinance.

<u>Discussion of Community Decay Ordinance for Park County:</u> Fox asked for this to be moved to next month's agenda do to time.

Project Updates: Inman stated that the proposed signage district has not garnered significant public comment to date. Fox asked what the County's role was in the Wildland Urban Interface which was mentioned in the Enterprise earlier that week. Inman told the Board about the

County's participation in the CPAW program. Fox brought up the potential for a property that might be used for affordable housing. Fox also brought up a proposal for major infrastructure planning for the joint planning subcommittee.

Old Business: None.

New Business: None.

<u>Discussion of Next Agenda:</u> Fox asked that the Discussion of a Community Decay Ordinance for Park County be moved to next month's agenda.

Public Comment for Items not on the Agenda: None.

Adjournment: Fox adjourned the meeting at 5:30pm.